Tamar Aptsiauri, Teona Gelashvili (Tbilisi)

Lexicographical Studies Based on Euthymius the Athonite's Translations of Gregory of Nazianzus's 30th and 31st Orations and Gregory of Nyssa's *The Life of Moses*

Examining original philosophical and theological works as well as their relevant early translations in the context of contemporary humanities is a subject of complex research. A comprehensive study of a particular translation involves an assessment of the original version in terms of the concepts and world-view it conveys, on the one hand, and a textual and philological analysis of the translated version, on the other, lexicological studies constituting one of the most important aspects of the latter. Development of a scientific terminology that can function as a specialpurpose language is, in general, preconditioned by several factors and entails a rather long-term work. The history of the making of the Georgian theological terminology extends back over centuries. This process started in the oldest (5th c.) translated and original monuments of different genres and reached perfection in the translations of the Gelati Hellenophile theological school. Succeeding the old tradition, the translators from the Iviron Monastery at Mount Athos, including Euthymius the Athonite, a prominent 11th c. translator and founder of the Iviron school, had undoubtedly played a significant role in unfolding large scale translation activities. The present paper is an attempt to analyze the development of the Georgian theological terminology at its early-stage based on Euthymius the Athonite's translations of two works pertaining to two different genres. Notably, our research will focus on the analysis of the interrelation between Greek Gnoseological terms and Euthymius's corresponding versions based on Gregory of Nazianzus's dogmatic writings – 30th and 31st Orations – and Gregory of Nyssa's exegetical work entitled *The Life of Moses*.

Cognizing the infinite Divine essence and dogmatic handling of the theoretical knowledge gained through the contemplation of the Christian Logos in the course of spiritual perfection is a great achievement of the Cappadocian school of theology. Subsequently, the early-stage translation of the above works into Georgian provides interesting material for observing the formation of the Georgian theological terminology as well as understanding the polysemy of relevant Greek terms. The broad range of Greek gnoseological terminology incorporates lexical units that underwent substantive semasiological changes while being transformed from everyday language-level into a theological term. Georgian translators most probably faced the same problem. Their task was apparently to render the extremely diverse Greek epistemological terminology and simultaneously overcome the conventional understanding of their equivalent terms established in everyday Georgian reality.

The most general term describing the cognition of the Ens Supremum is the Greek $\hat{\eta} \ \theta \epsilon \omega \varrho(\alpha)$, the original meaning of which is *observing, seeing, gazing, viewing.* This meaning is further augmented by its philosophical denotation as a mental contemplation of the transcendental.¹ Gregory of Nyssa's *The Life of Moses,* representing an extremely significant mystical and exegetical work, provides interesting material for understanding this lexical unit that has become a gnoseological term. "Theoria", standing for science in Christian theology, is opposed to "action", a term denoting practical activity (Melikishvili D., 128). The basic Georgian equivalent of the Greek "theoria" is *seeing.* The 11th and 12th cc. Georgian translators (George the Hagiorite and Ephrem Mtsire) also attest the terms "visual" and "active" as antonyms (ibid). The Greek $\theta \epsilon \omega \varrho(\alpha)$ in Euthymius's translation of *The Life of Moses* has three matches: looking, seeing, and perceiving (bj@35², bo@35 @s &j@cobj@ob-y@35). Each of them reflects the

¹ Melikishvili D., History of Old Georgian of Philosophical-Theological Terminology, Tbilisi 1999, 128.

² τότε προσάγεται τῆ τῆς ὑπερκειμένης φύσεως θεωρία (Gregory of Nussa, De Vita Moses, H. Musurilo, Gregorii Nysseni Opera (GNO), VII/I, Leiden 1964, 83, 6-7) რა ჟამს ესე ყოველი აღესრულოს, მიეახლების უზეშთაესისა მის ბუნებისა *ხედეა*სა (P3,373r,59).

process of contemplation of the supreme nature by a person trying to achieve spiritual perfection. The translator renders the process of moving closer to the realm of mental contemplation by the term കൗണ്ട്രിറ്റെ പ്രാംഗ്രാം (perceiving).³ The point at which Gregory of Nyssa provides an allegorical explanation of Moses seeing the God's back during the third theophany, i. e. when the Greek $\theta \epsilon \omega \varrho i \alpha$ in its original meaning denotes seeing physically, is translated by Euthymius the Athonite as "seeing" (boccas), while in the same sentence when the Greek verb ($\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \omega$) stands for seeing mentally, i. e. perceiving history allegorically as a "fable image", the translator decides in favor of the term "perceiving" (გულისჴმის-ყოფა) again. We have a similar case with the translation of the Greek $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$. In particular, when the original describes physical seeing, i. e. seeing tangible things, the equivalent in the Georgian version has the words "seeing" (boლვა) or "looking" (bറ്റയ്പാം), and when the author speaks about mental perception and conceptualization of a profound idea concealed in history, the translator goes back to the Georgian equivalent term of "perceiving" (გულისჴმის-ყოფა).4

When translating Gregory of Nazianzus's 30th and 31st Orations, Euthymius the Athonite renders $\eta \ \theta \epsilon \omega \varrho (\alpha \ with a single Georgian equivalent "perceiving" (გულისჴმის-ყოფა). The rationale again lies in the context: dealing with the divine contemplation and mental seeing leading to the divine cognition, the Georgian translator disposes the Greek term of the meaning of the mundane and translates it as "perceiving" (გულისჴმის-ყოფა).⁵$

³ σημαίνει κατὰ τὴν ἡμετέραν ὑπόληψιν τὸ ὑπερβῆναι τὴν ἐξ αισθήσεως γενομένην γνῶσιν ἐν τῆ τῶν νοητῶν θεωρία (VM, 84,2-4) amas moaswavebs, viTar me vhgoneb, viTarmed, romeli uxilavTa maT gulisĂmis-yofaTa Sevidodis, uĂms, raÁTa ĂorcielTa maT sacnobelTa mier momavalsa cnobasa da gulisĂmis-yofasa Tana-warhĀdes (373v, 59).

⁴ μετὰ ταύτην ἡ τῶν ὀπισθίων θεωρία εὐλογώτερόν τι τῷ τῆς ἀναγωγῆς νόμῷ θεωρηθησεται (VM, 112, 5-6) zurgiT kerZoÁsa RmrTisa xilvaÁ keTil ars, raÁTa igavis saxed gulisÃma-vyoT (384v, 84).

⁵ λέγεται, μετὰ τῶν προσφόρων τῆ θεωρία μαρτυριῶν (Gregorie de Nazianze, Discours, 27-31 (discours theologiques), Introduction, Texte critique, traduction et notes par P. Gallay, Sources Chretiennes, Les Editionis Du Cerf, Paris 1978, 3. Or. 31, 2, 5-7) ითქუმის წამებითა შემსგავსებულთა ამის *გულის ξθούμφαρου μαστάριας* (Gregory of Nazianzus, 30th and 31st Orations, critical apparatuses and technical resources by T. Kurtsi-kidze (not published) 31. 2. 6).

When discussing synchronous theoretical and practical actions accompanying the striving to perceive the truth, Gregory of Nyssa uses the term $\dot{v}\pi \dot{o}\lambda\eta\psi\iota\varsigma$ originally meaning, as evidenced from multiple examples in the translation, *thought* (argrobbodygrs), recollection (dragrobgds), and meditation (bsgrobgdgr), i. e. lexemes denoting the act of supposing. However, when Gregory of Nyssa argues that the foundation for learning virtues, on the one hand, is the liturgy and, on the other hand, having an appropriate outlook regarding the nature of the Divine, the translator substitutes this ambiguous assumptive term with the synonymous "perceiving" (arggrobfdob-gregs), denoting mental contemplation.⁶

When translating ὑπόληψις in Gregory of Nazianzus's theological *Orations,* Euthymius provides a completely different term as its equivalent.⁷ The translator becomes all the more stricter in relation to dogmatic issues and renders ὑπόληψις by exceedingly categorical religious expression of *the truth*. In this case, we have to do with is a divergent translation, in which the translator renders the term with a totally different meaning to prevent the reader from a possible incorrect understanding of the dogmate.

A similar trend can be observed in the translation of the term $\phi \alpha v \tau \dot{\alpha}$ - $\zeta \circ \mu \alpha i$ attested in Nazianzus's works and meaning theological visualization. Since visualisation in the minds of Georgian readers may evoke an association of subjective imagination, Euthymius substituted it by a lexical unit that is devoid of any subjectivity and suggests "perceiving" ($\delta m c \delta 0$)-gmgs) as a Georgian equivalent for $\phi \alpha v \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \circ \mu \alpha i$.⁸ We come across $\dot{\eta} \phi \alpha v \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha$, a noun of the same root, in Gregory of Nyssa's work when the author contends that the first step in fathoming the truth is to discard falsehood, defining falsehood as an imagination about temporal

⁶ τὰς πρεπούσας ὑπολήψεις περὶ τῆς θείας φύσεως ἔχειν (VM 22, 14-16) romelni-igi iyvnes swavlani saTnoebisani, romlisa Tavadi ars RmrTismsaxurebaÁ da gulisÃmis-yofaÁ saRmrToÁsa mis bunebisaTÂs (351v, 14).

⁷ ἐκ τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων τὸ δυνατὸν λαβῶν τῆς ἡμετέρας ὑπολήψεως (Or., 31, 11, 17-20) kacobrivTa saxeTaganca ipoebis WeSmaritebaÁ (31. 11. 50).

⁸ οὐχ ὃς εὑρε τὸ πῶν ... ἀλλ' ὃς ἐἀν ἄλλου φαντασθῆ πλέον (Or., 30, 17, 13-15) araTu romelmanca pova yovelive saidumloÁ RmrTismetyuelebisaÁ aramed romelmanca umetesad sxuaTa gulis§ma-yos (30. 17. 9). Δαβίδ ἐφαντάσθη πρότερον (Or., 31, 3, 16-17) daviT gulisÃma-yo pirvel (31. 3. 10).

things. In this case, the Georgian translator retains the contextual meaning and renders $\dot{\eta} \phi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha$ by the word "dreaming" (mBGgds).⁹

Both Gregory of Nyssa's and Nazianzus's works abound with the Greek terms that convey the process of cognizing the infinite and transcendental Divine essence. After correlating the two works with their Georgian versions, it became clear that when translating the Gnoseological vocabulary, Euthymius the Athonite gives preference to the term "perceiving" (გულისჴმა-ყოფა).10 The Georgian translator tries to incorporate in this single and, so to say, safe lexeme all cognitive terms that have the philosophical implication of seeing beyond and that would definitely cause confusion of the untrained Georgian readers, eventually leading to a wrong understanding of the notion. Below we are bringing all the Greek lexical units from both works translated by the Georgian translator as "perceiving' (გულისჴმის-ყოფა). However, we decided to highlight several instances: 1. ക്രൗസ്റ്റിറ്റില് sole equivalent of the pertinent Greek term; 2. გულისჴმის-ყოფა is one of the equivalents of the Greek term and 3. The Greek word is rendered by a hendiadys of which anyლისკმაყოფა is a component, i.e. the instances when Euthymius the Athonite resorts to hendiadyses to convey the depth of the wide cognitive meaning of a particular Greek term. Classifying the terms in this manner would also allow us to examine the solidity of the Georgian equivalents of the Greek lexemes and determine whether Euthymius alters the meaning of one and the same Greek term when translating the works of two different genres.

⁹ ψεῦδος γάρ ἐστι φαντασία τις περὶ τὸ μὴ ὄν ἐγγινομένη τῆ διανοία, ὡς ὑφεστῶτος τοῦ μὴ ὑπάρχοντος. ἀλήθεια δὲ ἡ τοῦ ὄντως ὄντος ἀσφαλὴς κατανόησις (VM 40, 6-8) rameTu tyuvili ars yoveli ucnebaÁ warmavalTa amaT saqmeTaÁ, xolo WeSmarit ars mecnierebaÁ WeSmaritisa mis arsisaÁ (357r, 26).

¹⁰ გულისჴმის-ყოფა (Abuladze I., Explanatory Dictionary of Old Georgian, Tbilisi 1973: acknowledging, remembering, informing, notifying, feeling, being aware, knowing, looking), (Sulkhan-Saba Orbeliani: it means mental perception and opening up of hearts. Has been described by some as heart's voice. Heart does not have voice (ჴმა(Ω), but can be unlocked and opened ajar, which is called reason), (Melikishvili D., Documented Dictionary of Old Georgian and Old Greek Philosophical and Theological Terms, Tbilisi 2010: understanding, conceptualization, cognition), Z. Sarjveladze's Dictionary of Old Georgian, Tbilisi 1995 – does not contain this term.

For a better visualization, we designed tables with the Greek terms and their correspondent Georgian versions in the Georgian translations of both Gregory of Nyssa's and Gregory of Nazianzus's works (see tables).

Although a vast majority of Greek cognitive terms are rendered by Euthymius the Athonite based on the same principle and using the same Georgian equivalent, we nevertheless encounter a number of differences in the translations of both Gregory of Nyssa's and Gregory of Nazianzus's works: 1. If the Greek term $\Im \epsilon \omega \varrho (\alpha)$ in Gregory of Nyssa's *The Life of Moses* has a philosophical as well as a trivial bearing, in Gregory of Nazianzus's work it has a strictly defined and exclusively philosophical meaning of transcendental contemplation; 2. The Georgian version of $\dot{\nu}\pi \delta \lambda \eta \psi_{IS}$ in Gregory of Nyssa's work is closer to the basic meaning of this word as it is rendered by the Georgian $\Im \odot \odot \delta \delta 0$ - $\Im \odot \delta$ (perceiving). In Gregory of Nazianzus's *Orations*, however, we encounter a totally different case with "the truth" appearing as the equivalent of $\dot{\nu}\pi \delta \lambda \eta \psi_{IS}$; 3. When translating $\phi \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \zeta \rho \mu \alpha \prime \tau \alpha \sigma (\alpha)$, on the other hand, Euthymius the Athonite is fully focused on the contents of the original and interprets the original idea correctly considering the readers' interest.

The examination of old Georgian translations of the above semantic units of cognitive terminology allowed us to draw certain conclusions. In particular, it was ascertained that Georgian theological terminology had not yet been strictly defined by Euthymius the Athonite's time. The translator tries to fully convey the depth of the original teaching, while, simultaneously takes into account the interests and intellectual background of the Georgian readers, which should explain why Euthymius tries not to confuse the reader by the polysemy of the Greek lexemes. He is especially strict when translating a dogmatic work and therefore at a point in Nazianzus's work dealing with the Holy Trinity dogmate, a particular Greek term often has one Georgian match instead of being rendered by several equivalents, like in case with the translation of Gregory of Nyssa's exegetical work. As to the fact that the translator renders nearly a two dozens of Greek gnoseological lexemes by a single term - გულის ვმისgmgs (perceiving) - clearly indicates that we are witnessing the tendency to unify diverse Greek lexemes.

| Greek Term | Gregory of Nyssa | Gregory of Nazianzus |
|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| περίνοια | გულისჴმის-ყოფა | გულისჴმის-ყოფა |
| σκοπέω | გულისჴმის-ყოფა | გულისჴმის-ყოფა |
| νοοῦμαι | გულისჴმის-ყოფა | გულისჴმის-ყოფა |
| διανοέω | | გულისჴმის-ყოფა |
| λογίζομαι | | გულისჴმის-ყოფა |
| ἐνοϱάω | გულისჴმის-ყოფა | |
| ἒννοια | | გულისჴმის-ყოფაჲ |
| ἀκολουθία | გულისჴმის-ყოფა | |
| διανοητικόν | გულისჴმისმყოფელობა | |
| ύπονοέω | გულისჴმის-ყოფა | გულისჴმის-ყოფა |

Greek Terms the Only Correspondence of Which is *perceiving* (გულისჴმის-ყოფა)

Greek Terms, One of the Correspondence of Which is *perceiving* (გულისჴმის-ყოფა)

| Greek Term | Gregory of Nyssa | Gregory of Nazianzus |
|-------------|--|--|
| ἐπίγνωσις | ცნობა, მეცნიერება, გულისჴმის-ყოფა | მეცნიერებაჲ |
| γιγνώσκω | მეცნიერება, ცნობა, ცოდნა, საცნაურ ქმნა, სმენა, ხილვა, გულისჴმის-ყოფა | ცნობა, მეცნიერება, |
| σημάινω | მოსწავება, გამოსახვა, გულისჴმის-ყოფა | |
| μανθάνω | გულისჴმის-ყოფა, სწავლა, ცნობა, | სწავლა |
| μεταλαμβάνω | მიცვალება, გულისჴმის-ყოფა | მიღება |
| νοέω | მოგონება, საცნაურ–ყოფა, გულისჴმის–ყოფა | გულისჴმის–ყოფა |
| ἐννοέω | მოგონება, გულისჴმის–ყოფა | გულის ჴმის-ყოფა |
| εἲδω | ცოდნა, გულისჴმის–ყოფა | |
| χωϱέω | დატევნა, აღსლვა, მიახლება გულისჴმის-ყოფა | შემოკრება, დამკვიდ- რება, დატევა, მიწევნა |
| ἀπαντάω | | წარმართება <i>,</i> გულის ჭმა-ყოფა |
| γνῶσις | ცნობა, ხედვა, გულისჴმის- ყოფაჲ | მეცნიერებაჲ, გულისჴმის-ყოფაჲ |
| συνίημι | ცოდნა, გულისჴმის–ყოფა | |
| κατανόησις | გონება, მეცნიერება, გულისჴმის-ყოფაჲ | მეცნიერება |

| κατανοέω | ცნობა, პოვა, განცდა, ხილვა, პყრობა, გულისჴმის–ყოფა | |
|-----------|---|------------------------------------|
| διάνοια | გონება, ძალი, გულისჴმის– ყოფაჲ | გონება <i>,</i> გულისჴმის–ყოფაჲ |
| ἐπίγνωσις | ცნობა, მეცნიერება, გულისჴმის–ყოფაჲ | მეცნიერებაჲ |
| σημασία | სასწაული, გამოჩინება, გულისჴმის–ყოფაჲ | |
| άναφέρω | | გულის ჴმის-ყოფა, მიჩემება |
| όράω | ხილვა, ხედვა, გულისჴმის– ყოფა | ხედვა |
| νόήμα | გულისჴმის–ყოფაჲ | |
| νοῦς | აზრი, გულისჴმის–ყოფაჲ | გონებაჲ |

Hendiadys, one of the Component Part of Which is perceiving (გულისჴმის-ყოფა)

| Greek Term | Gregory of Nyssa | Gregory of Nazianzus |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|
| γιγνώσκω | გულისჴმის-ყოფაჲ ცნობად | |
| συλλέγω | | მიწევა გულისჴმის- ყოფად |
| βαδίζω | | პყრობა გულისჴმის- ყოფით |
| καταιρέω | | მიწუთომა გულისჴმის-ყოფად |
| γνῶσις | ცნობა და გულის ჭმის-ყოფა; ხილვა, ცნობა, მიწთომა | |
| γνώφιμος | | უცხადესი და გულის |

Abstract

The present paper is an attempt to analyze the development of the Georgian theological terminology at its early-stage based on Euthymius the Athonite's translations of two works pertaining to two different genres. Notably, our research will focus on the analysis of the interrelation between Greek Gnoseological terms and Euthymius's corresponding versions based on Gregory of Nazianzus's dogmatic writings – 30th and 31st *Orations* – and Gregory of Nyssa's exegetical work entitled *The Life of Moses*. A comprehensive study of a particular translation involves an assessment of the original version in terms of the concepts and world-view it conveys, on the one hand, and a textual and philological analysis of the translated version, on the other, lexicological studies constituting one of the most important aspects of the latter. The early-stage translation of the above works into Georgian provides interesting material for observing the formation of the Georgian theological terminology as well as understanding the polysemy of relevant Greek terms.